

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/541,795		09/15/2005	Massimo Pinori	622-84	4230
23117	7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
		ERHYE, PC	WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			K	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			1621	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/541,795	PINORI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sikarl A. Witherspoon	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
·—·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 July 2006</u> .						
	·						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	in punto quayro, 1000 c.e. 11, 15	,					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-34</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) 27 and 28 is/are allowed.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) <u>18-26 and 29-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
,— ,,——	1	,					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
	or and continue copies not receive						
Attachment(s)		·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/11/05.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 30-32 provide for the use of compound of claim 18 for the preparation of medicaments, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Art Unit: 1621

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-26, 29, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Bertolini et al (US 6,034,096).

The instant claims are drawn to a compound of formula (I) and to a pharmaceutical composition comprising said compound.

Bertolini et al teach a compound, also designated as formula (I), that has a generic structure that encompasses compounds of instant formula (I). Bertolini et al also teach a pharmaceutical composition comprising a compound within the scope of their general formula (I).

The instant claims are rendered obvious over Bertolini et al since Bertolini et al teach a generic structure that encompasses the generic structure, and compounds of the instant formula (I). A person having ordinary skill in the art would easily select a compound that is a species of the general formula (I) of the present invention, to practice the invention taught by Bertolini et al, and essentially practice the instant invention as well.

Art Unit: 1621

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 18-26, 29, 33 and 34 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,034,096. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US 6,034,096 teaches a compound, also designated as formula (I), that has a generic structure that encompasses compounds of instant formula (I). The patent also teaches a pharmaceutical composition comprising a compound within the scope of their general formula (I).

There is no patentable distinction between the instant claims and those of the US patent since the patent teaches a generic structure that encompasses the generic structure, and compounds of the instant formula (I).

Art Unit: 1621

# Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claims 27 and 28 are drawn to compounds that are not taught or suggested by the closest prior art of record.

The examiner would like to suggest that applicants amend the independent claim to read "A compound..." and dependent claims to read "The compound...".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,795 Page 6

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

saw

SIKARL A. WITHERSPOON
PRIMARY EVANGINED